Application Form



<u>2077</u>

Desulphurization for PEM based micro-CHP application

Roberto Bove

Alstom Power, Baden, Switzerland

In PEMFC applications, organic and inorganic sulfur compounds are harmful for both reformer and electrodes catalysts, blocking the active sites for the reactions and causing a progressive performance drop [1–5]. Consequently, a clean-up section characterized by deep sulfur removal has to be implemented in natural gas fuel processing unit, to reduce sulfur fraction down to PEMFC tolerance limit (< 1 ppm) [6]. Among the main developed clean-up methods, adsorption technologies represent the most suitable solution for the studied application, because of the possibility to reach the required ultra-low sulfur levels with 100% efficiency and the use of systems operating at room temperature [7][8].

The used gas mixtures contain tetrahydrothiophene (THT), tert-butylmercaptan (TBM) and dimethylsulphide (DMS), which are the main odorants used in the typical European odorization blends. Activated carbons characterized by different surface treatments, activated impregnated alumina, iron oxide and natural zeolite have been tested previously for H2S adsorption under different operative conditions, allowing the possibility to compare the results in terms of inorganic and organic sulfur compounds removal.

In this project, sulfur clean-up through adsorption is studied and the performance of selected sorbents towards odorants removal is investigated under the variation of operating parameters, as Gas Hourly Space Velocity (GHSV), gas composition, temperature and filter geometry. The samples are characterized before and after the adsorption through B.E.T. analysis, evidencing the changes in their porous structure and the correlation with adsorption capacity results.

References

[1] Sethuraman V a., Weidner JW. Analysis of sulfur poisoning on a PEM fuel cell electrode. Electrochim Acta 2010;55:5683–94.

[2] Zamel N, Li X. Effect of contaminants on polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells. Prog Energy Combust Sci 2011;37:292–329.

[3] Lopes T, Paganin V a., Gonzalez ER. Hydrogen sulfide tolerance of palladium-copper catalysts for PEM fuel cell anode applications. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2011;36:13703–7.

[4] Cheng X, Shi Z, Glass N, Zhang L, Zhang J, Song D, et al. A review of PEM hydrogen fuel cell contamination: Impacts, mechanisms, and mitigation. J Power Sources 2007;165:739–56.

 [5] Lopes T, Paganin V a., Gonzalez ER. The effects of hydrogen sulfide on the polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell anode catalyst: H2S-Pt/C interaction products. J Power Sources 2011;196:6256– 63.

[6] Li L, King DL. H2S removal with ZnO during fuel processing for PEM fuel cell applications. Catal Today 2006;116:537–41.

[7] Shekhawat D, Spivey JJ, Berry DA, editors. Fuel cells: technologies for fuel processing. 2011.
[8] De Wild PJ, Nyqvist RG, de Bruijn F a., Stobbe ER. Removal of sulphur-containing odorants from fuel gases for fuel cell-based combined heat and power applications. J Power Sources 2006;159:995–1004.